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The top ten questions about residential demand charges

10. Why offer a demand charge?
9. What do we know about existing demand rates?
8. How will customer bills be affected?
7. How should a demand charge be designed?
6. Is a demand charge a fixed charge?
5. Can customers understand demand charges?
4. Will customers respond to a demand charge?
3. How will utility revenues be affected?
2. What will be the role of enabling technology?
1. When is the right time to introduce a demand charge?
10. Why offer a demand charge?
Why offer a demand charge?

Thank you, Ahmad and Cass!

1 down, only 9 to go...
9. What do we know about existing demand rates?
At least 19 U.S. utilities currently offer residential rates with demand charges

24 unique rate offerings across 14 states

10 IOUs, 7 municipal utilities, 2 rural electric cooperatives
Observations about existing demand rates

Mostly offered on opt-in basis, sometimes mandatory for sub-classes

Emerging trend toward new rollouts and enhanced marketing

Low enrollment but not necessarily due to lack of interest

Typical enrollee at least 2x size of average customer

There is no one-size-fits-all approach across the 19 offerings
- 10 vary by season
- 8 combined with time-varying energy charge
- 6 measure demand during peak period
- 2 measure demand over a 60 minute interval
Observations about existing demand rates (cont’d)

Reasons for offering the rates have changed

- Older rates: Improve load factor (opt-in)
- Newer rates: More equitable cost recovery (opt-in)
- Future rates: Equity and fairness, (opt-out or mandatory)

Rates typically recover distribution and generation capacity costs and sometimes transmission, but with a price cap

Little empirical assessment of the rates’ impacts has been conducted
8. How will customer bills be affected?
The rate change will affect each customer’s bill differently

Good news: A major cross-subsidy has been removed

Bad news: Some customers will experience bill increases

More good news: Transition plans help facilitate the change for these customers
Bill impacts will depend on the rate’s design

Distribution of Bill Changes for Revenue Neutral Rates

$15/kW
$12/kW
$9/kW
$6/kW
$3/kW
7. How should a demand charge be designed?
Designing a demand charge is a complex endeavor requiring careful consideration of many key factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Options</th>
<th>Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How to define demand</td>
<td>- System coincident peak</td>
<td>Is the charge intended to convey system-level capacity costs (e.g., generation capacity), distribution-level costs (e.g. transformers), or some combination?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Class coincident peak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Billing demand (max of month)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- A combination of these</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time interval of demand measurement</td>
<td>- 15 mins</td>
<td>Does load diversity necessitate 15-minute measurement? There are tradeoffs between precision and customer acceptance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 1 hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to other charges</td>
<td>- Fixed charge</td>
<td>Is there overlap in the costs being recovered through each charge type? What is the most effective way to convey price signals to customers?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Time-varying energy charge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicable customer segment</td>
<td>- Size eligibility constraints</td>
<td>Should the charge vary with the size of a customer? Should it be higher in congested areas of the grid?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Variation by geographic location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonality</td>
<td>- Seasonal differentiation</td>
<td>What is the relationship between summer and winter demand-related costs? Is demand measured on a monthly or annual basis (or somewhere in between?)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Year-round</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Demand ratchet</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer enablement features/requirements</td>
<td>- Demand subscription</td>
<td>Should the rate be coupled with the provision of demand limiters or other technology? Should customers subscribe in advance to a certain demand level?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Enabling technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Commercial and industrial (C&I) rate provide a well-tested model for rate design

Demand charges have been included in C&I rates for decades

However, at least one design element in C&I rates should be re-examined before incorporating into residential rates

The interval of demand measurement in existing residential demand rates is between 15 minutes and 60 minutes

In some cases, it is dictated by constraints of the metering/billing system

But in most cases, it is simply chosen to be consistent with existing demand rates for commercial and industrial customers

Shorter intervals have the advantage of precision but could lead to a significant increase in customer bill volatility; bills could jump unexpectedly

Careful consideration should be given to this assumption
6. Is a demand charge a fixed charge?

(Spoiler: The answer is NO)
Demand charges do not automatically increase bills for small customers

- Correlation between bill impact and customer size is stronger with increased fixed charge
- Whether small customers are low income customers is another question entirely...

Note: The three-part rate includes a monthly fixed charge of $10, an energy charge of 50.077/kWh, and a demand charge of $6/kW. The revenue-neutral two-part rate includes a monthly fixed charge of $40 and an energy charge of 50.083/kWh.
Additionally, demand charges...

- Are more closely aligned with the costs that they recover
- Provide customers with an opportunity for bill reductions through demand response and energy efficiency
- Could lead to improved load factors and reduced resource costs
- Can compensate distributed generation for its capacity value

(But demand charges **do** require smart/interval meters)
5. Can customers understand a demand charge?
Customers don't need to be electricity experts to understand a demand charge

Responding to a demand charge does not require that the customers know exactly when their maximum demand will occur.

If customers generally know to avoid the simultaneous use of electricity-intensive appliances, they could easily reduce their maximum demand without ever knowing when it occurs.

This simple message should be stressed in customer marketing and outreach initiatives associated with the demand rate.

The following example is a hypothetical illustration of the composition of the typical customer’s maximum demand (8.5 kW), and the benefits of staggering the use of a few key appliances.
Staggering the use of a few key appliances could lead to significant demand reductions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appliance</th>
<th>Avg. Demand (kW)</th>
<th>Flexible Load (7.5 kW)</th>
<th>Inflexible Load (1 kW)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dryer</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use of some of the appliances is inflexible (1 kW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use of other appliances could be easily staggered to reduce demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stove</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Simply delaying use of the dryer until after the oven, stove, and hand iron had been turned off would reduce the customer’s maximum demand by 3.5 kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hand iron</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. plug loads</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lighting</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigerator</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This would bring the customer’s maximum demand down to 5 kW, a roughly 40% reduction in demand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg. Demand Over 30-min
4. Will customers respond to a demand charge?
Three experiments suggest that customers will respond

Average Reduction in Max Demand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina 1</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina 2</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The North Carolina pilot was analyzed through two separate studies using different methodologies; both results are presented here

However...

- Two of the pilots are old and the third is from a unique climate
- The impact estimates vary widely
- Findings are based on small sample sizes
- New research is needed
We have developed a model to simulate customer response to demand charges

The model is based on a widely accepted methodological framework that captures two key effects

- Load shifting in response to a change in rate structure
- Conservation (or the opposite) in response to a change in average rate level

The model draws on an extensive library of customer price elasticity estimates found in more than 40 pricing pilots over the past decade

Our simulation for a hypothetical demand rate found that the average customer would reduce their max demand by 5%

Residential class peak-coincident demand would drop by 1.7% due to load diversity; demand during system peak would drop by a slightly lower amount
New empirical research would improve our understanding of customer response

There are at least two viable approaches for reliably testing the impact of demand charges

**Experimental pilot**
- Scientific approach designed to provide statistically robust estimates
- Randomly selected treatment and control group to avoid bias
- Pre- and post-treatment data collection

**“Test and learn” deployment**
- Rates are deployed full scale and modified regularly to assess impacts
- “Before and after” data can still be collected
- A quasi-control group can be created from non-participants
- Less scientific, but facilitates faster deployment
3. What is the role of enabling technology?
Technology will help customers manage demand

Smarter demand management will be enabled by new technologies

And third parties will compete to be the customer’s energy advisor
Bill savings could increase significantly with technology

Average bill savings with technology = $140/year
2. How will utility revenues be affected?
Demand charges would reduce a hidden subsidy for customers with distributed generation

Rate Increase due to Rooftop Solar Adoption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rooftop Solar Market Penetration</th>
<th>Rate Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pure Volumetric Rate**

**Volumetric + Demand Rate**

**Comments**

- This is a highly stylized example that should be refined through utility-specific analysis.
- Rate impacts do reflect avoided energy and capacity costs.
- Rate impacts do not reflect additional costs associated with net metering such as interconnection costs, billing administration, etc.
But there is a revenue loss risk associated with any voluntary rate offering...

In one analysis, if all customers switch to the demand rate when beneficial, residential revenue would drop by 5%.

Accounting for realistic rate switching behavior, revenue loss would be more like 3%.

One approach to mitigating the revenue impact has been to build the anticipated revenue loss into the new rate design.

Another approach is to recover the lost revenue from the customers who are on the old flat rate.
1. When is the right time to introduce a demand charge?
The transition to a demand charge will take time and require careful planning

Rate Design
- Rate benchmarking
- Cost structure review
- Formation of ratemaking objectives
- Rate development

Pilots
- Pilot design
- Sample selection
- Process evaluation
- Customer satisfaction surveys
- Load impact analysis

Impact Analysis
- Load impacts
- Bill impacts
- Revenue impacts
- Conservation impacts
- Societal costs & benefits

Transition Plans
- Multi-year rate rollout strategies
- Protections for vulnerable customers
- Customer education

Regulatory Activity
- Rate case testimony
- Stakeholder outreach and education
- Conferences, whitepapers, webinars, etc.
Rediscovering Residential Demand Charges

In an environment of declining sales growth and rising costs, electric utilities and their stakeholders are exploring new rate designs that will better reflect costs while preventing inequitable bill increases for many customers. Residential demand charges have emerged as an attractive option. This article explores the benefits and challenges of introducing a demand charge into retail rates for residential customers.

Ryan Hledik

1. Introduction

The U.S. electricity industry is rapidly changing. Among the biggest challenges facing the industry is a slowdown in sales growth. This trend is seen clearly among individual U.S. households. Despite decades of consistent growth in per-capita electricity consumption, data from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) suggests that we will see a consistent annual decrease over the next decade, as illustrated in Figure 1. This is driven in part by the lingering effects of the Great Recession of 2008-2009, but also by a number of structural factors such as aggressive energy efficiency standards, new demand-side management (DSM) programs, and a growing trend in consumer preferences for more energy efficient products.

At the same time that sales are declining, the cost of maintaining a reliable grid is rising. For example, Northeast Utilities will invest $4.3 billion over the next five years to upgrade its...
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Appendix
## Existing Residential Demand Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Utility Ownership</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Residential Customers Served</th>
<th>Effective Date of Rate</th>
<th>Fixed Charge</th>
<th>Demand Charge ($/kW-month)</th>
<th>Timing of demand measurement</th>
<th>Demand interval</th>
<th>Peak Hours</th>
<th>Combined with energy TOU?</th>
<th>Applicable Residential Customer Segment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Alabama Power</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1,241,998</td>
<td>7/14/2011</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>1.50 1.50</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Alaska Electric Light &amp; Power</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>AK</td>
<td>13,968</td>
<td>12/7/1990</td>
<td>11.49</td>
<td>11.11 6.72</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Arizona Public Service</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>1,019,292</td>
<td>7/1/2012</td>
<td>16.68</td>
<td>13.50 9.30</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>12:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>12:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Black Hills</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>54,617</td>
<td>4/1/2010</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>7.61 7.61</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Black Hills</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>WY</td>
<td>2,153</td>
<td>6/1/2010</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>6.75 6.75</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>City of Fort Collins Utilities</td>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>60,464</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>2.40 2.40</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>City of Kinston</td>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>9,776</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>10.05 7.81</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>13:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>7:00 - 9:00 &amp; 14:00 - 20:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>City of Longmont</td>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>34,697</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>5.75 5.75</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Dakota Electric Association</td>
<td>Cooperative</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>94,924</td>
<td>9/21/2014</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>12.90 9.30</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>101,158</td>
<td>11/1/2012</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>8.55 5.00</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>13:00 - 21:00</td>
<td>6:30 - 12:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Dominion</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>VA</td>
<td>2,105,500</td>
<td>1/25/2014</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>5.68 3.95</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>11:00 - 22:00</td>
<td>7:00 - 11:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1,608,151</td>
<td>11/1/2013</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>8.03 4.01</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>12:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>7:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>460,178</td>
<td>10/1/2013</td>
<td>13.83</td>
<td>7.92 3.98</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>13:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>7:00 - 12:00</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fort Morgan</td>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>5,273</td>
<td>2/1/2015</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>10.22 10.22</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Georgia Power</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>2,072,622</td>
<td>1/1/2015</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>6.42 6.42</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Midwest Energy Inc</td>
<td>Cooperative</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>29,951</td>
<td>7/1/2014</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>6.40 6.40</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Otter Tail Power Company</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>47,699</td>
<td>10/1/2011</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>6.08 5.11</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Otter Tail Power Company</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>44,910</td>
<td>12/1/2009</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>6.52 2.63</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Otter Tail Power Company</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>8,648</td>
<td>6/1/2011</td>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>7.05 5.93</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Salt River Project</td>
<td>Political Subdivision</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>891,668</td>
<td>1/4/2015</td>
<td>32.44</td>
<td>9.59 or 17.82</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>13:00 - 20:00</td>
<td>17:00 - 21:00</td>
<td>Yes DG only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>South Carolina Public Service Authority</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>140,126</td>
<td>12/1/2013</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>11.34 or 4.85</td>
<td>Peak Coincident</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>13:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>6:00 - 10:00</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Swanton Village Electric Department</td>
<td>Municipal</td>
<td>VT</td>
<td>3,208</td>
<td>4/16/2013</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>6.38 6.38</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Westar Energy</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>323,581</td>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>10.00 3.00</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Xcel Energy (PSCo)</td>
<td>Investor Owned</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>1,182,093</td>
<td>10/30/2009</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>4.84 4.84</td>
<td>Any time</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Yes All</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Peak periods are applicable from Monday through Friday excluding following holidays: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas.
- 4-5: Blacks Hills also offers an optional time-of-use rate for customers owning demand controllers; the rate can be combined with a demand charge that is measured during the peak period.
- 7: Between May and September peak hours are from 7:00 to 9:00 and 14:00 to 20:00. Between November to March peak hours are from 7:00 to 9:00.
- 9: This rate is available to residential customers with at least 5 kW of controlled electric heating units. Members requesting service under this rate schedule must remain on this rate schedule for a minimum of twelve months.
- 17-19: Otter Tail Power Company determines demand based on the peak one-hour reading recorded during the winter period for the most recent 12 months.
- 20: This rate schedule is only for residential customers that have a solar DG connection. The demand charge is tiered and applies to demand with thresholds of the first 3 kW, the next 7 kW, and all remaining kW.
- 21: South Carolina Public Service Authority has two demand charges based on time-of-use. The peak demand charge is $11.34/kW and the off-peak demand charge is $4.85/kW.
- 23: Westar Energy's rate has been proposed by the utility in an ongoing regulatory proceeding but has not yet been approved.