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Background

Besides Italy, Ontario is the only region in the world to deploy Time-of-Use (TOU) rates as the residential default rate.

This presentation evaluates the impacts of the TOU rates in Ontario through the end of 2014 by carrying out a province-wide econometric analysis.
TOU Rates In Ontario

Note: The prices above are commodity only, this study uses the all-in prices that customers actually face.
Institutional Background

Ontario has 70+ Local Distribution Companies (LDCs)
- The Regulated Price Plan (RPP) offers TOU rates on a default basis
- Customers can opt-out of RPP by contracting with a retailer

Smart Metering Initiative announced by provincial government in 2004 required the LDCs required to
- Roll out smart meters by 2010
- Deploy TOU rates by 2012
- Migrate smart meter data to a centralized Meter Data Management and Repository (MDM/R) before TOU
Timing, Data and Challenges

For example: Central Region Rollout Schedule - Residential

- Dotted line: AMI customers in sample before TOU
- Solid line: AMI customers in sample on TOU

- Total number of AMI customers in sample before TOU
- Total number of AMI customers in sample on TOU
Evaluation Challenges

1. Recruitment of LDCs
2. Disparate data sets
3. Statutory Environment
4. Non-experimental environment
Lessons Learned for Future TOU Rollouts

1. Plan the Rollout

2. Ensure Adequate Collection of and Access to New Data

3. Incentivize M&V Compliance
About the Study

Three year effort to measure load shifting and conservation impacts of TOU by calendar year

- All Impact Reports on IESO website

Examine three seasons and two customer classes

- Summer, Winter and IESO Evaluation Peak
- Residential and general service

Today’s Results from Study Year 3

- Includes 8 LDCs
- Constitute more than 50% of Ontario electricity accounts.
Methodology

Use Generalized Addilog Demand System to measure impacts
- Structural Model – allows out of sample predictions
- Allows for substitution elasticities to vary between periods

Impacts calculated separately for each of four Ontario sub-regions
- Impacts allowed to vary by socio-demographic factors

Reweight regional impacts using census characteristics to obtain representative regional impacts

Province-wide impacts are calculated by weighting the regional impacts by regional customer count shares
Results

There is significant evidence of load shifting across all regions and years

- Reduction in usage in the peak period, some reduction in the mid-peak
- Increase in usage in the off-peak periods

The load shifting model parameters are generally well-behaved and have magnitudes that have been observed in other pilots

There are some unexpected, positive and significant elasticities in the conservation models, likely due to insufficient data history and little price variation
Residential Summer Load Shifting Across All Periods for Ontario

* Period 6 was mid-peak before May 2011

Note: Black bars indicate 95% confidence intervals for the impact
Residential TOU Peak Period Impacts across Regions

Summer TOU Peak Period (11am – 5pm)
Residential Load Shifting Results

Note: Black bars indicate 95% confidence intervals for the impact.
Ontario Residential TOU Impacts Compared to TOU Pilots from Around the Globe

Ontario Residential TOU Summer Impacts Compared to TOU Pilots from Around the World

All of the data points shown in blue above, are currently drawn from TOU pilot studies, not full scale rollouts like the OPA.
Ontario Residential TOU Impacts Compared to TOU Pilots from Around the Globe

Close-up of Ontario Residential TOU Summer Impacts Compared to TOU Pilots from Around the World

All of the data points shown in blue above, are currently drawn from TOU pilot studies, not full scale rollouts like the OPA
Residential Substitution Elasticities Compared to Other Pilots (Summer TOU Peak Period)

* The Ontario TOU rollout was system wide, not a pilot
Residential Winter Load Shifting Across All Periods for Ontario

Provincial Winter Load Shifting for Residential

* Period 6 was peak before May 2011

Note: Black bars indicate 95% confidence intervals for the impact
Annual Residential Conservation Impacts by Region

We did not find any evidence of residential conservation due to the rollout of TOU rates

- There is very little variation in average prices over time
Conclusions

By 2012 the province of Ontario had switched nearly 95% of residential customers to default TOU

We exploit variations in the timing of the rollout as well as the existence of non-TOU retail customers to estimate the load shifting and conservation impacts of TOU

Load shifting impacts are consistent with those found in other studies and relatively consistent across regions in Ontario and study years

We find no evidence of TOU induced conservation
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