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Introduction and Methodology

Introduction

• The purpose of this presentation is to summarize residential EV-specific rate offerings in the United States

• The presentation includes the following sections:
  • Drivers and goals of EV-specific rates
  • A survey of current EV-specific rate offerings
  • Review of two pilot studies of EV-TOU effectiveness

Methodology

• The survey draws upon the following sources:
  • OpenEI Utility Rates Database
  • Utility tariff sheets
Drivers and Goals of EV-Specific Rates
Background

EV rate offerings are an opportunity to improve the economic efficiency of EV charging behavior

- Consumer electric vehicles use approximately 225-275 kWh per month
- Level 1 charging consumes 1.4 kW of power
- Level 2 charging uses 6.2-7.6 kW of power
- A majority of EV charging occurs at home

Possible Utility Goals

1. Encourage EV adoption by reducing charging costs
2. Provide price signals that encourage optimal EV charging patterns while accurately collecting costs
The impact of rate design on EV attractiveness depends on (desired/actual) charging patterns

TOU and demand charges incentivize off-peak charging but also introduce an element of financial risk for the EV owner.

It will be important to understand the extent to which customers are able and willing to respond to these price signals.

Technology that automates charging control will likely play a key role.

Fleets with higher utilization likely favor frequent, fast charging and potentially have less flexibility to respond to price signals.

### Annual EV Charging Cost per Traveler

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charging Profiles</th>
<th>Rate Designs</th>
<th>Flat rate</th>
<th>TOU (3:1 ratio)</th>
<th>TOU (10:1 ratio)</th>
<th>Inclining block rate</th>
<th>Unconstrained demand charge</th>
<th>Peak period demand charge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Off Peak L1</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$510</td>
<td>$289</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$562</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Peak L1</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$1,059</td>
<td>$1,356</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$639</td>
<td>$676</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Commute L2</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$886</td>
<td>$1,021</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$976</td>
<td>$1,155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off Peak L2</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$510</td>
<td>$289</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$882</td>
<td>$550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On Peak L3</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$1,290</td>
<td>$1,807</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$1,335</td>
<td>$1,656</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomous Fleet</td>
<td>$744</td>
<td>$824</td>
<td>$899</td>
<td>$971</td>
<td>$808</td>
<td>$904</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparable annual fuel cost of an ICE vehicle at $3/gal, 30 mpg is **$1,460**

Notes:
- Rates and charging profiles are purely illustrative.
- Typical annual residential electricity bill is $1,140.
- Assumes constant vehicle miles traveled across all charging profiles.
- Each rate is applicable to whole home load, but figures shown are only incremental EV charging costs.
- Rates are revenue neutral for a class average residential customer.
Rate design appears more likely to influence charging patterns than to impact EV adoption.

Incremental Monthly Cost of EV Ownership Relative to ICE Vehicle (Illustrative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost Driver</th>
<th>Base Incremental EV Cost</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline Price</td>
<td>-$23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Cost</td>
<td>$7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Tax Credit</td>
<td>$12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Miles Driven</td>
<td>$36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Rate Structure</td>
<td>$52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Efficiency</td>
<td>$69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Rate Level</td>
<td>$72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV Efficiency</td>
<td>$68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base incremental EV cost = $91/month

Notes:
Results are illustrative.
The “Base incremental EV costs” is a levelized value over the life of the vehicle (10 years, 150,000 miles) reflecting the higher costs of the battery and lower fuel costs. Range shown is based on “high” and “low” assumptions for each key cost driver. See appendix for assumptions behind sensitivity analysis.

Comments:
- Rate design appears to impact total EV ownership costs modestly relative to other cost drivers, though this is heavily dependent on charging patterns.
- Additionally, there are significant non-economic drivers of vehicle adoption.
- Thus, rate design may be a better tool for influencing the behavior of EV owners rather than being a primary consideration in the vehicle purchase decision.
Utilities and Types of Rates

21 US utilities are currently offering EV-specific rates
- 12 Investor Owned Utilities
- 6 Municipal Utilities
- 3 Cooperatives

31 unique EV rate designs
- 27 TOU rates (1 of which has inclining blocks)
- 2 Inclining Block rates
- 1 Flat rate
- 1 Flat rate with flat demand charge

Differences in rate applicability
- 18 rates apply to entire residence
- 8 rates apply strictly to EV charging, metered separately (the costs of separate metering are generally incurred by the customer)
- 5 rates can be applied to entire residence or strictly EV charging
Rates – General Trends

• Diverse array of rate offerings
• Most utilities’ EV-specific rates are more advantageous than comparable non-EV offerings. Designed to encourage enrollment and off-peak charging by offering:
  • Cheaper off-peak rates
  • Reduced or eliminated tiers of inclining block rate
• A few rates are less advantageous than comparable non-EV rates (longer or more expensive peak periods). These rates are generally required in order to receive utility-sponsored EV rebates or utility-financed charging infrastructure.
  • Several pilot programs are testing ultra-high price ratios (>10)
  • Several rates are either identical to other non-EV residential rates or are the only TOU rates offered.
TOU Rates

Of the 27 TOU rates:

- 9 have 2 pricing periods in both Summer and Winter
- 11 have 3 pricing periods in both Summer and Winter
- 5 have 3 pricing periods in Summer but 2 in Winter
- 2 have 4 pricing periods

Many different arrangements of pricing periods, seasons, price ratios, and fixed costs.
TOU Rates – Price Ratios

Summer Price Ratios (Peak Rate to Lowest Off-Peak Rate)

- 2 Period Median = 3.19
- 3 or More Period Median = 3.74

Winter Price Ratios (Peak Rate to Lowest Off-Peak Rate)

- 2 Period Median = 2.36
- 3 or More Period Median = 2.54
**TOU Rates – Price Differentials**

### Summer Price Differentials (Peak Rate to Lowest Off-Peak Rate)

- **2 Period Median = 17 cents/kWh**
- **3 or More Period Median = 28 cents/kWh**

### Winter Price Differentials (Peak Rate to Lowest Off-Peak Rate)

- **2 Period Median = 9 cents/kWh**
- **3 or More Period Median = 12 cents/kWh**
Pilot Studies

San Diego Gas & Electric – EV TOU Pilot Study
• 3 different 3-period rates with varying price ratios (roughly 2, 4, and 6 for peak/super off-peak)
• All rates applied strictly to EV charging, not the entire residence
• 430 participants owning a Nissan LEAF with a charging timer and Level 2 charging
• EV owners were found to be responsive to price signals and shifted a majority of charging to super off-peak hours
• Participants exhibited learning behavior, increasingly shifting consumption as the study progressed

EPRI – Salt River Project EV Driving, Charging and Load Shape Study
• Observational study of 70 EVs of various models subject to different rate plans
• TOU rates found to be highly effective in shifting peak loads
• Energy use and charging load varied widely across different models and charger types
Conclusions

• Electric vehicle owners have significantly different needs, load shapes, and flexibility than other residential customers, supporting the creation of new rate offerings

• EV TOU rates encourage optimal charging patterns, creating a win-win for utilities and EV owners

• Initial findings from two EV charging pilots indicates that charging load is highly responsive to rate design, though further empirical research is needed in this area
References


Appendix: Monthly Cost of EV Ownership Assumptions

General Assumptions:
- 10 year vehicle life
- 24 kWh battery
- 10% registration fee
- 12% charging losses
- $600 charger cost
- 7% annual discount rate

Sensitivity Assumptions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Rate Level</td>
<td>cents/kWh</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Rate Structure</td>
<td>Off-Peak w/ TOU (10:1)</td>
<td>Flat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV Efficiency</td>
<td>miles/kWh</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Efficiency</td>
<td>MPG</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Miles Driven</td>
<td>miles/year</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Tax Credit</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>7,500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Cost</td>
<td>$/kWh</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline Price</td>
<td>$/gal</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Brattle Projects & Research on Electrification

Ongoing
- Forecasting the impacts of new utility initiatives on EV adoption (EPRI)
- System Dynamics based modeling of EV adoption and impacts on utilities (ComEd)
- Developing a framework for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of ratepayer-funded electrification programs (EPRI)
- Reviewing rate design alternatives for public EV fast charging stations (EEI)
- Developing forward-looking ratemaking strategies, including rate design for EVs (GRE)

Recent
- Assessment of the benefits and costs of residential grid-interactive electric water heating (NRECA/NRDC)
- Assessment of the economy-wide technical potential for electrification (Brattle White Paper)
- Exploration of the implications of ride sharing and vehicle automation for electric utilities (Brattle White Paper, Electricity Journal Article, PUF Article)
Additional Brattle Resources

The Electrified Future is Shared, Jürgen Weiss, Public Utilities Fortnightly, PUF 2.0, Mid-February 2018


New Sources of Utility Growth: Electrification Opportunities and Challenges; Retail Energy Practice Briefing Series; The Brattle Group, November 2017

Electrification: Emerging Opportunities for Utility Growth, Jürgen Weiss, Ryan Hledik, Michael Hagerty and Will Gorman, January 2017
Our Electrification Services

- Market Potential Assessments
- Integrated Modeling to Understand Interdependencies
- Multi-criteria Screening of Electrification Options
- Electrification Strategy Development
- Macroeconomic Impact Modeling
- Rate Design for Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging
- EV Adoption Modeling
- Regulatory Strategy and Support
- Pilot Development
The Brattle Group provides consulting and expert testimony in economics, finance, and regulation to corporations, law firms, and governments around the world. We aim for the highest level of client service and quality in our industry.
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